بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



As-Sahab Media Presents

The Exploits of Muslims And Infamies of the Criminals

Adam Yahiye Gadahn (Azzam the American)

Jumada al-Uula 1434 – March 2013

All praise is due to Allah, and prayers and peace be on the Messenger of Allah and his family, companions and allies.

Muslim brothers in Libya in particular and the world in general: peace be upon you and the mercy of Allah and His blessings.

The American ambassador in Libya and three of his colleagues were killed, to avenge the honor of the Prophet – peace and prayers of Allah be upon him – and to avenge the blood of hundreds of thousands of America's victims worldwide, include thousands of innocent Libyans. The American ambassador in Libya was killed, to heal the chests of believers and enrage the criminals; and so America's followers from the civil society organizations and remnants of the Qaddhafi regime went into action,

along with some opportunistic or deceived people from the general public, and they demonstrated to condemn the incident and those responsible for it, and to demand that the honorable revolutionaries of Libya hand over their weapons and go home. The demonstrators condemned the killing of the ambassador more than they condemned the accursed American film. They condemned the killing of the ambassador more than they condemned the killing of the martyr – as we see him – Shaykh Abu Yahya al-Libi – may Allah have mercy on him – in a sinful American bombing. They condemned the overrunning of the consulate, the killing of the ambassador and the plundering and ruining of the American bases, before they themselves invaded the bases of the revolutionaries and killed, destroyed and plundered in the name of "Rescuing Benghazi."

And I don't know: is "Rescue" a synonym for "Ruin" in these peoples' language? And did they do what they did on the basis that it's only the spilling of Americans' blood and plundering of their possessions which is wrong; as for spilling the blood of Libyans and taking their possessions, that's totally permissible? Or did they kill and plunder in retaliation for what happened to the American infidels, as if they are their friends and protectors, and how can they be their friends and protectors when Allah said, ***"Your friends and protectors are only Allah, His Messenger, and the believers, those who establish Salaat and Zakaat, and bow down in worship; and whoever takes Allah, His Messenger, and the believers as friends and protectors, then the party of Allah is the victorious one." [5:55-56]***

Then the same scene – or a similar scene – played out in Tripoli after a drug trafficker was killed and one of the brigades was accused of being responsible for the incident. So what is it with these people who only take offense at the death of those who sow corruption in the land, whether Muslims or non-Muslims?

Whatever the truth about the motivations of the so-called "Rescuers" might be, the fair-minded sons of Benghazi testified to the discipline of the revolutionaries from the Ansar al-Shari'ah brigade and the other brigades flying Islamic banners, and that they preserved the city's security in a way the police were unable to do; in addition, the brigade denied its involvement in the overrunning of the consulate; as for the other brigades, they hadn't been accused in the first place, and moreover, one of them was connected with the defense ministry and operating under its command. So why this biased assault on them as if they are criminals who trespassed on the rights and lives of innocent Muslims? On the contrary, the withdrawal of the members of the Ansar al-Shari'ah brigade from their bases without a fight and their refraining from skirmishing with the invaders clearly shows their eagerness to avoid the spilling of blood and prevent the outbreak of a strife which would have negative consequences.

I am convinced that the reason for this sinful attack on the faithful revolutionaries of Libya is their insistence that the future be for Islam, and that the state be an independent Islamic state which rules by Shari'ah, is loyal to Muslims and strives for the liberation of the countries of Islam from the hegemony of the enemies of the religion.

My brothers in Libya: it has become clear that there are domestic and foreign parties which don't want the honorable revolutionaries to have a role in leading Libya towards the future and shaping its political system, and this is why these parties are trying to sideline them and exclude them from state institutions at all costs; and if they have to be included, then they must be under the command of the officers and officials trusted by America and its fellow Western Crusader states, those states which now describe the revolutionaries as militants, militias, terrorists, armed gangs and similar nicknames which clearly demonstrate animosity, hatred and scorn for them and their religion.

Dr. Akram Hijazi

Author and Researcher Specializing in Affairs of the Mujahideen:

That's why they placed An-Nusra Front on the terrorism list. One of the American security officials said in no uncertain terms, "We had been thinking about putting An-Nusra Front on the terrorism list for several months before we took the decision." What does that mean? That you were thinking of adding it to the list before it was even born? This poses a very big question: that the Americans are now targeting...that is, you're not allowed to fight a criminal, despotic, murderous tyrant on the basis of your faith or what you believe in.

In other words, I want to fight al-Assad in accordance with my religion. My religion prescribes Jihad, so I want to engage in Jihad against al-Assad. You aren't allowed to say this; instead, you have to fight him in the name of the civil state, in the name of democracy, in the name of liberalism, in the name of secularism; in the name of Zionism, no problem; in that case you will be considered to have reached the pinnacle of modernity, the pinnacle of civility and the pinnacle of civilization. But if you fight him in the name of Allah, then you are a terrorist. That's what happened with An-Nusra Front.

Thus, they are now not only pursuing An-Nusra Front, which is fighting just like thousands of other groups in Syria, they are even pursuing those who demand the application of Shari'ah. They are even pursuing the Ansar

al-Shari'ah groups which have taken a peaceful approach in inviting the people to Allah and calling for the application of Shari'ah. They have found themselves in a debacle vis-à-vis this movement because they can't accuse these groups of terrorism, nor can they convict them, and so now they're applying pressure on them – in Libya in particular and even more so in Tunisia – they're applying huge pressure on them in order to make them turn to violence.

Abu Ayyadh

Ansar al-Shari'ah Group, Tunisia:

I say to you that the United States of America, the West in general and Israel – and we keep abreast of the Western press reports and think-tank reports on the movements and activities of Ansar al-Shari'ah – they can't stand it. At one point they even said that these people are engaged in "Soft Jihad." This term must be understood; it's a loaded term.

Any smart, perceptive person will be aware that one of the most important parties organizing the demonstrations and campaigns hostile to the revolutionaries and presiding over calls for disarming the brigades and general public is the civil society organizations, which represent as a whole a fifth column in the Muslim countries whose ideas, methods and funding come from Western governments, embassies, intelligence services and international institutions, and which executes the anti-Islamic agenda, projects and designs of the West on its behalf. That's why these organizations are the ones who always shamelessly oppose every Islamic renaissance project which calls for returning to the Quran and Sunnah and abiding by them; and many of those who call for a civil society don't hesitate to reject the decree of Allah and His Messenger when it contradicts their laws and principles which have been imported from the West.

So our brothers the preachers and reformers must undertake a campaign of preaching – I repeat: preaching – to educate and inform the Muslims in Libya and the world about the true nature of these organizations, while taking note of the fact that the word "civil" is an elastic term which carries more than one meaning and has more than one

use, and that some of the local and foreign parties which are categorized as being "civil society organizations," "non-governmental organizations," or "human rights monitoring groups" are in fact engaged in legitimate Shari'ah-compliant work such as helping victims of oppression, aiding those afflicted by disaster, providing food and medicine to the poor and needy, vaccinating children against dangerous diseases, and other desirable things, without their operations being mixed up with any subversive, hostile or espionage-related activities. This is why it isn't permissible for us to place all of these organizations automatically in the same column, much less consider them and their employees to be legitimate military targets in all circumstances, but at the same time, it also isn't permissible to turn a blind eye to those who combat Islam and plot against Muslims.

And I carried out a comparison between the civil state which the plotters want and the Islamic state which the Muslims are striving for, and explained the differences between them, in my letter "The Nation of Sacrifice and Martyrdom Vs. Treason and Despotism," particularly in Part Four; and in Part Five, I discussed the importance of weapons and the necessity of holding on to them and how owning them doesn't conflict with the civil state, much less the Islamic state. And I call on my brothers to spread awareness of the importance of keeping weapons and the consequence of abandoning them among their brothers in the general populace of Libyans, while warning them of the plots of the West's proxies and the remnants of the Qaddhafi regime in both this matter as well as others.

And speaking of the plots and schemes of the Crusaders and their lackeys, I have to say something – even if it means going into a bit of boring detail – about the true nature of the dead American ambassador Christopher Stevens.

The dead American ambassador Christopher Stevens wasn't a friend of Libya and Libyans, nor of Arabs and Muslims, nor was he working for their interests. The dead American ambassador Christopher Stevens wasn't an employee of a charitable organization, but rather, was a representative of the empire of evil and corruption, America, which sent him to Libya to conspire against the Libyans' revolution and to impose on them a tame and obedient regime which lives in the stable of America and the West and abides by American ethics and principles.

What I am saying isn't empty talk. Didn't the head of the American regime, Barack Obama, declare in his latest address to the United Nations General Assembly that his country will only back those who adopt American values and principles, and guess what American values and principles are? The principle of distancing religion from government in the name of the civil state and secularism; the principle of the freedom to leave Islam in the name of freedom of religion; the principle of mocking

sacred things, desecrating copies of the Quran and insulting the noble Prophets of Allah in the name of freedom of expression; the principle of invading the countries of the Muslims, killing their women and children and capturing their men in the name of the war on terrorism; the principle of stealing their wealth and treasure in the name of free trade and international partnerships; and the principle of normalization of ties with Israel in the name of global peace, the so-called national interest and "moderate centrist Islam".

And among American principles as well: allowing and permitting adultery, fornication, sodomy and all types of vices, obscenities and perversions in the name of personal freedom, and permitting men to marry men and women to marry women in the name of equality. And here it should be mentioned that the leaders of America, Europe and the United Nations Organization stated last year that they have made their relations with the states of the world and their aid to them contingent on those states' respect for what they call the rights of homosexuals and other sexual perverts; so whichever state respects the so-called right of perverts to engage in their obscenity and flaunt their predilections will receive the aid; but whichever state doesn't respect this so-called right will have the aid and sustenance severed. So in which column do you think Free Libya and the other states of the Islamic region will stand? In the column of states which respect perversions and perverts in order to please the "international community"? Or in the column of states which preserve their religion, principles, dignity and genuine culture, even if by doing so they incur the wrath and displeasure of the arrogant internationalists, the Pharaohs and Nimrods of our age?

Some of those who take the Crusader threat lightly might contend that there is no presence of perverts in Libya and other Islamic countries as a social phenomenon, distinct and prominent sector of society and political pressure group as we find them in the countries of the West. But as Joseph Massad, Professor of Modern Arab Politics and Intellectual History at America's Columbia University, explained in a book of his in 2007, Western colonial powers, led by the American and European pervert activists in their ranks, are today heading off to the weak and occupied countries to impose on them the Western categorizations and alien concepts concerning "gays" and "the gay movement" so that these powers can defend perverts in the name of defending human rights and fighting persecution, and all that in the interest of achieving their devilish purposes and objectives, justifying their interferences and imposing cultural and ideological dependence, thereby removing the psychological and moral barriers which prevent the imposition of political, military, economic and religious dependence on the countries and peoples of the world.

And in this way, these powers – over the last two centuries – introduced a host of malaises of Western civilization to the realms of Islam in order to weaken the civilizational immunity and impenetrability of the Ummah. For

example, colonialism exported fanatical nation-states, man-made constitutions, political parties, secularism and nationalism, civil society organizations, women's liberation movements, and other concepts of the contemporary age of ignorance, in a cultural, ideological and military Crusade unparalleled in Muslim history.

And the civil democratic system which today is being marketed and which some are saying should be imposed on the Muslims by force if necessary is nothing but the latest installment of the series of naked Western interferences and blatant Crusader conspiracies which can only take place through missions, embassies and diplomats like those whom the heroes swooped down on in Benghazi.

By the way, As-Sahab Foundation several years ago prepared a detailed study of Western diplomatic missions from a historical, factual and legal perspective, and reached the conclusion that those conspiratorial missions are tantamount to a foreign occupation of Islamic land, and thus they enjoy no immunity, and it isn't permissible to assent to their presence in Muslim countries. So whoever wants more information should refer to the As-Sahab release "The Will of the Martyr As We See Him Hafiz Uthman, Who Carried Out a Martyrdom Operation on the American Consulate in Karachi, Pakistan."

And thus we come to the conclusion that the real mission for which Christopher Stevens was sent to Libya was to destroy it and subject it to the desires and will of the forces of global arrogance; and his successor has been given the same mission; so – Muslims in Libya who are jealous for your religion and Ummah – be on the lookout for him, and lay for him every sort of ambush, and don't leave an ambassador or embassy of the Crusaders in Libya; otherwise, they will ruin your religion and life.

In this context, I would like to salute our brothers in Qaida al-Jihad Organization in the Arabian Peninsula, who announced a financial reward for anyone who kills the Jewish American governor of the Yemen, Gerald Feierstein, during the first six months of this new Common Era year, and I ask Allah to guide a man or woman to deliver the world from the evil and corruption of this criminal. I also ask Allah to guide good people in Libya and elsewhere to collaborate among themselves and with the Mujahideen to post similar rewards for those who kill the ambassadors of the Crusaders in other Islamic lands, as these prizes have a great effect in instilling fear in the hearts of our cowardly enemies. They also encourage hesitant individuals to carry out important and great deeds in the path of Allah, especially when the rewards include guarantees that they will be handed over to the families of those who execute the operations in the event of their being killed or captured.

Something else which mustn't be ignored when talking about the true nature of Western diplomatic missions is the reciprocal parasitic relationship between these missions and the states which host them, and

the role of many of the governments and regimes of the Islamic world in cooperating with the employees, agents and spies of the embassies and facilitating them and giving them free rein to engage in their subversive activities without check, in exchange for a paltry worldly price which usually manifests itself in financial, military, security, intelligence and political aid for these regimes to help them eliminate their enemies and opponents, whether domestic or foreign.

Also, Libyans haven't yet forgotten the role of the West and its organs and missions in empowering al-Qaddhafi and imposing him on Libya. That's why I don't rule out the possibility that Western embassies and foreign intelligence services played a role in the election of Ali Zaidan to the position of head of the new Libyan government. Otherwise, what makes Ali Zaidan suitable for leading Libya of revolution and Jihad?

Ali Zaidan is a secular liberal close to the secular liberal Mahmoud Jibril, who is widely seen as America's man in Libya. However, since Mahmoud Jibril is unacceptable to a large and important sector of the Libyan populace, the Westerners decided to nominate one of his companions to perform the same role, in hopes that he would win the acceptance and popularity which Jibril failed to win.

Ali Zaidan is someone who lived for decades among the Western unbelievers, absorbing their degenerate culture, deviant principles and sick ideas.

Moreover, Ali Zaidan is the one who called – in the first days of the revolution – for an international Western ground intervention in Libya!

---

14 April 2011: The Current Head of Libya's Government and Representative of the National Transitional Council at the Time Ali Zaidan Calls for International Intervention on the Ground in Libya and Welcomes the Establishment of Crusader Military Bases on Muslim Soil!

The Security Council in its Resolution 1973 permits the use of force through a wording which allows the use of all means necessary to achieve that. The other thing is that the Libyan people has now decided – and I'm speaking here not in the name of the Transitional National Council, but expressing my point of view as a political struggler –that we as Libyans will go down this road, the road of cooperation with the international community, even if that means – and I repeat, this is my personal opinion – even if that means bringing in forces on the ground. [...]

I won't deny it, I'm expressing my personal perspective now: we will press on even if the matter requires the deployment of foreign forces on the ground in order to finish al-Qaddhafi. As a Libyan citizen, I will be with this choice to the very end. And I remind you: at the beginning of independence, we sought the help of the Allies, and there were foreign bases in Libya in accordance with the will of the Libyan people, and these bases preserved the Libyan state after independence for an extended period of time.

I don't know, was Ali Zaidan unconscious for the last decade and therefore didn't notice the disaster and destruction which has befallen Iraq, Afghanistan, Somalia and other Islamic countries as a result of internationally-backed military interventions on the ground? Or [are his words an expression of] treasonous loyalty to the colonialists and betrayal of Allah, Islam and Muslims? And I don't know which is uglier: is it Zaidan's blind faith in the senior international criminals and his welcoming their interference in the internal affairs of our countries? Or his belittlement of the heroic Libyan revolutionaries and doubt in their ability to decide the battle in favor of the Libyan people?

And needless to say, Zaidan's request for the deployment of foreign troops on the ground was contrary to Libyan public opinion, which rejected Western ground intervention from the outset of the revolution, and was also contrary to the official policy of the National Transitional Council which Zaidan represented.

And Zaidan didn't stop at calling for intervention; no, he went on to declare – after the approval of his cabinet by the Libyan General National Congress – that Libya will be a new partner for the United States of America and the European Union: in other words, that Libya will continue the policy of al-Qaddhafi and his gang in rapprochement and cooperation with the West, for Muslim Libya to be a partner of the senior international criminals, the dissolute Zionist and Crusader unbelievers who are the killers of innocents, suckers of peoples' blood and spreaders of unbelief, atheism, obscenity, vice, sexual perversion and moral corruption.

And it is our right to make a connection between this declaration of Zaidan and the Paris conference which was held on February 12th to support what is falsely called "stability in Libya," as well as the leader of unbelief and tyranny Barack Obama's inclusion of the name of Libya on the list of states which he announced would combat those connected with al-Qaida on behalf of a depleted and exhausted America, in his State of the Union address on the 13th of February.

Obama:

Today, the organization that attacked us on 9/11 is a shadow of its former self. It's true, different al-Qaida affiliates and extremist groups have emerged from the Arabian Peninsula to Africa. The threat these groups pose is evolving. But to meet this threat, we don't need to send tens of thousands of our sons and daughters abroad or occupy other nations. Instead, we'll need to help countries like Yemen, Libya and Somalia provide for their own security, and help allies who take the fight to terrorists as we have in Mali; and where necessary, through a range of capabilities we will continue to take direct action against those terrorists who pose the gravest threat to Americans.

Impudently and Without Shame: The Head of the Libyan Government Ali Zaidan Beats the Drums for the Crusader Invasion of Mali and Says That War against the Mujahideen is Obligatory Even if Libya and the Entire Region is Burned by its Flames!

Interviewer: As we speak, the French military campaign against northern Mali is getting underway in earnest. I would like to ask: what is your stance towards the French intervention in this region?

Ali Zaidan: Our stance was to continue the dialogue as long as possible, but if force must be used and there's no other way and no escaping from it, then force must be used. Who uses force? That's up to the Malian people and the Malian government to decide.

Interviewer: So you in one way or another have signed up to this stance, this orientation.

Ali Zaidan: What orientation? The use of force?

Interviewer: The orientation of using force.

Ali Zaidan: No, we were...we aren't for using force; but when circumstances dictated that force be used...we aren't in a position in the first place to be for or against the matter between France and Mali.

Interviewer: But also, it has a connection with the region. There are some who are expressing real fears that this war will shuffle the cards again and these armed groups will relocate and redeploy across the region.

Ali Zaidan: This is possible. This is possible, but such a possibility under no circumstances means that people should stop and not confront this matter. Confronting it is obligatory and necessary, because [allowing]

the matter to remain as it is now may [mean things will] be worse in the future.

Qaida al-Jihad Group and the groups allied with it have nothing to do with fighting the Libyan state, nor any other Libyan party after the Qaddhafi regime, and they have no interest in any such fight; however, if a small group of mercenaries who falsely claim to belong to the Libyan people were to insist on antagonizing the Mujahideen, starting a war against them and entering into an armed conflict with them, then in such a case, no party on earth will be capable of controlling the Mujahideen or predicting the nature of their reaction towards this sort of aggression. So smart people should avoid it.

The Mujahid Shaykh Abu Mus'ab Abdul Wadood

May Allah Preserve Him:

The second message: I would like to affirm in it that al-Qaida Organization hasn't posed and won't pose a threat to Mali nor to neighboring states nor to Africans as France falsely claims, because the Mujahideen's goal is clear: defending their religion and their Ummah's interests by targeting the Zionist-Crusader coalition until it stops occupying Muslim countries and interfering in their affairs. As for neighboring states and the states of Africa, they are not our targets, except in self-defense. That's why the leaders of these states mustn't let themselves be dragged into a war which isn't theirs. They must learn from others' mistakes. They must realize that France has its own interests at heart, not their interests. It wants them, their soldiers and their peoples to be fuel for a holocaust whose sparks will reach their capitals while the French people remain safe and secure and enjoy African resources in their bunker behind distant seas. This is why I advise these leaders not to fall into line behind Hollande if they truly have the interests of their countries and peoples at heart.

Grandsons of Umar al-Mukhtar: is this why you rose up against the accursed al-Qaddhafi? Is this why you sacrificed all things precious and dear? So that you could be partners of the criminal unbelievers? So that the police state could be rebuilt? So that your government could support

the rights of perverts? Where is your jealousy for the sanctities of Allah which are being violated? Where is your jealousy for the honorable revolutionaries who are being excluded and their places take by mercenaries and agents? Where are the Ulama of Libya and its valiant Mujahideen to take their natural place at the vanguard of the Ummah and lead it administratively, politically and militarily towards a bright future under the banner of Islam, Jihad and the Caliphate with Allah's permission?!

Muslim brothers in Libya: they say to you that the revolution ended with the fall of the tyrant. And I say to you: No! Your revolution hasn't ended and won't end until there emerges in Libya an independent Islamic state which rules by Shari'ah, allies itself with the Muslims, disassociates itself from the unbelievers and strives to liberate the occupied countries of Islam, foremost of them Palestine. So hold tightly, brothers, to your religion in which lies your safety, and to your weapons in which lie your security, strength and honor; and unite under the banner of "There is no God worthy of worship other than Allah, Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah" in order to achieve the legitimate objectives of the revolution, and don't allow America and its allies and new proxies to steal your efforts and sacrifices and pull the rug out from under you.

And the demoralizers among those affiliated with preaching, guidance and Islamic work, as well as among the professional charlatans who call themselves "politicians" and "deputies of the people," will say to you, "The situation in Libya today is better than what it was during the Qaddhafi era, so why are you stirring up trouble, and why don't you go back to your homes and families and leave the running of the country to us?"

Naturally, no one is denying that the situation in Libya today is better than it was under al-Qaddhafi, and how could it not be? Hypothetically speaking, if a being from Mars were to come to Tripoli and set up a government, his rule would be better than the rule of that apostate criminal. But that doesn't mean that you must accept this being as your ruler, nor does that mean that it isn't your duty to strive for the better and more perfect situation which pleases your Lord, protects your rights and preserves the gains of your revolution for which blood has been spilled and limbs have been severed.

However, I want to bring to the attention of the Mujahid youth that Qaida al-Jihad organization isn't calling on them to declare an Islamic emirate or anything similar, whether in Libya or elsewhere. Fateful and sensitive decisions related to legal policy and the overall interests of the Ummah aren't the domain of individuals and small groups, nor even large groups unless they have the necessary armed strength and established power base; rather, these decisions can only be made – and Allah knows best –by bringing together the well-grounded Ulama, experienced commanders and righteous and influential representatives of the Ummah

and society. But instead, al-Qaida is calling on all sections of the Muslim Ummah to continue the revolutionary efforts, the popular action, the preaching activities, the preparatory work, and the Islamic Jihad against direct and indirect occupation, until Libya and all Muslim countries are liberated from Zionist-Crusader influence and the Islamic state is established in them.

And here's a new front of Jihad which has opened up near Libya, as America, France and their agents, the regimes and armies of the African Sahel and Great Sahara, invade the Azaouad region of northern Mali and occupy it in the name of liberating the territory from the control of the Islamic groups which took it over by the grace of Allah and laudably announced that they are striving to implement Islamic Shari'ah in it. So, Muslim brothers in Libya: come to the aid of and be of help to your brothers, the Mujahideen in Azaouad, and hasten to support them and be with them with your ideas, prayers, expertise, wealth and selves, without neglecting the domestic front of course; and don't permit the Crusaders to use Libyan territory as a rear base or logistical base from which to wage their aggression against Islam and Muslims in the Islamic Maghreb.

Youth of Islam everywhere, particularly in the countries of what is called the Arab Spring: a previous generation surrendered and agreed willingly or otherwise to live in the shadow of the fanatical nation-states which were imposed on the Muslim realms after Crusader colonialism succeeded in weakening the Islamic state, occupying it, toppling it, dividing its territories and tearing apart its peoples; then another generation retreated in front of the corruptive revolutionary regimes which first deceived the peoples then crushed them after the colonialists were forced to depart our countries by the grace of Allah first and then by virtue of the popular Jihadi resistance of the Muslim masses. The result of consecutive generations retreating in front of the deteriorating conditions imposed by domestic and foreign enemies was what you saw, experienced and revolted against in terms of corruption, despotism, dependence on others, surrender to the enemy, betrayal of the Ummah, combating of Islam and repression of Muslims. So don't you surrender to the corrupt civil democratic system which is supposed to be imposed on you after your countries have begun to be liberated from the previous corrupt system by the grace of Allah first and last and then by virtue of your sacrifices and the sacrifices of your brothers, the Mujahideen.

Continue your revolution and Jihad until victory, and let your slogan be "No fear after today; no humiliation after today; no vassalage after today; no going back; no return to a miserable, humiliating and disgraceful situation in which government, authority and the right to bear arms is monopolized by elite groups or institutions which don't fear Allah in their responsibilities and how they treat those they rule."

And all praise is due to Allah, Lord of the worlds.

So Let's Support Our Brothers in Syria

With Our Selves, Wealth and Prayers

